## A Model of Functional Art

by Fa Poonvoralak (1990)

I am going to define a new form of art which I will call Functional A	rt.
---	-----

This art will perform like a programme, once it has been started, it will work continuously until it is turned off.

It will work like a function.

There can be infinitely many models of functional art; I am going to build up just one of nem.	Î

The idea of my model is this

I will propose to a government, to declare a law that in front of the name of each person we are going to add a word 'Relation'

As examples

Mr William Shakespeare Mr Albert Einstein

in this model will become

Relation William Shakespeare Relation Albert Einstein The reader may read the following examples to feel the concept:

RELATIONS NAZIR AHMAD SHAHIDI RELATION NAJIB BOUGUESSA RELATION J. VOCOS LESCANO RELATION SYDNEY SUNDERLAND RELATION H.B.S WOMERSLY

RELATION W.D. RUBINSTEIN

RELATION HANS ROBERT HANSEN

RELATION HAFIZ SHAZALI OTHMAN

RELATION SARWAR ALI

RELATION M.A. MUKTADIR

RELATION N. NEUJEAN

RELATION S. VUOILLIEMIN

RELATION LOPEN PEMALA

RELATION V. TERAIN ERQUICA

RELATION JOSE CARLOS DE ALMEIDA

RELATION GILSON DIMAS COSTA

**RELATION S. ISTATKOV** 

RELATION K. VELEV

RELATION R. CARRIER

RELATION W.J.B. OWEN

**RELATION M. YEATES** 

RELATION NELLY ALONSO MENESES

RELATION LANG ZHIMING

RELATION T.H. CHEN

RELATION C.E. MESA

RELATION G. VALENCIA

RELATION CARLOS ARAYA POCHET

RELATION P. NEZIT

RELATION FELIX PEREZ MARTINEZ

RELATION E. KUNZ

RELATION J. MAJER

RELATION E. ANDERSEN

RELATION L. MICHEL RELATION G. COURTES RELATION F. GALLIAS RELATION H. ZEIGLER As an example, Relation John Browns His friends just call him John or Johnny. Only in formal settings d owe call him Mr. John Brown; on this occasion we will address him formally as

Relation John Brown

So what mankind loses is just simply a set of non-essential words like Mr, Mrs, etc. But what we gain is beyond imagination.

the whole structure of mankind will be transformed by one single word.

You can try a different submodel, i.e., by substituting 'relation' with other words, to feel different concepts.

As examples

A concept B function C operation

etc.

For my part, I think that 'relation' is appropriate. Because relation is a kind of function, and it is not without love and friendship.

I choose the weak word 'relation'.

There are some stronger words. But they can not reserve humanity.

The most important property of the model is this

Numerous unsolved problems of mankind, in each field, will be naturally solved without having to solve them because they are non-existent in the model.

My model is also a direct answer to the following unsolved questions. It is a well known fact that the earth is round, but can we feel the roundness of the earth? Relativity is a well known knowledge, but can we feel the relativity in everyday life? You know that Mr A who is standing in front of you is a human being, but can you feel that he is a human being?

etc.

Or, I can put all of these questions into a generalised form
you know that it is x, but can you feel that it is x?
I can see no way out, as long as we live in the present model of mankind, unless we have a change of the model.

Of course one can not see the result of my model in a short space of time, it will take a few decades for a new generation to be completely aware that one is a relation, and all the others are relations.

For a new generation of be completely aware that one is an operation, and all the others are operations.

One may be unique, one may be not.

Just as today one is aware that one is a human being.

It probably takes millions of years for a life to be aware of itself as life. It probably takes hundreds of thousands of years for a human being to be aware of itself as a human being.

How long will it take for a function to be aware of itself as a function?

Some readers probably have still not understood the extent of the model. It is not just simply a substitution of words.

Although it is so in the beginning.

## As consequences

The concept of 'relation' will appear in designs of costumes, in designs of buildings, in education, in politics, in economics, in agriculture, in transportation, in industry, etc.

Because everything is a relation.

From now on, a murderer is a relation,	a prostitute is a relation, a postman is a relation, a bus driver is a relation, a student is a relation, etc.
It is like playing a game, but this is not	a trivial game.

Someone may come up with a better model, or even a better submodel of my model, that means one can find a more efficient word than 'relation'.

I admit that my model is just a primitive prototype.

But the value of my essay lies in the concept of functional art, in the method of building up one model of it.